14 APRIL 2021

NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Minutes of a meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday, 14 April 2021

* Cllr Christine Ward (Chairman)
* Cllr Christine Hopkins (Vice-Chairman)

Councillors:

- * Ann Bellows
- * Sue Bennison
- * Hilary Brand
- * Rebecca Clark
- * Anne Corbridge
- * Kate Crisell
- * Arthur Davis
- * Barry Dunning
- * Allan Glass

Councillors:

- * David Hawkins
- * Maureen Holding Mahmoud Kangarani
- * Joe Reilly
- * Tony Ring Ann Sevier
- Michael Thierry
 * Beverley Thorne
- Malcolm Wade

In attendance:

Councillors:

Diane Andrews

Officers Attending:

Vivienne Baxter, Christine Eyles, Judith Garrity, Andrew Kinghorn, David Norris, Martine Parkes, Julie Parry, Daniel Reynafarje, Claire Upton-Brown, Karen Wardle and Matt Wisdom

Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Cllrs Sevier, Thierry and Wade.

84 MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 10 March 2021 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

85 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cllr Ring disclosed a non-pecuniary interest in application 21/11446 as a member of the Planning Committee of Ringwood Town Council which had commented on the application. He concluded that has he had not expressed a view or voted on the application there were no grounds under common law to prevent him from remaining in the meeting to speak and to vote.

^{*}Present

Cllr Hawkins disclosed a non-pecuniary interest in application 20/11446 as a member of the Planning Committee of New Milton Town Council which had commented on the application. Cllr Hawkins addressed the Committee on behalf of New Milton Town Council, but did not participate in the debate or vote on the application. He was present during the consideration of the item.

Cllr Ward disclosed a non-pecuniary interest in application 20/11446 as a member of New Milton Town Council which had commented on the application. She concluded that there were no grounds under common law to prevent her from

86

remaining in the meeting to speak and to vote.		
PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR COMMITTEE DECISION		
а	Land at Hamer Warren, Somerley, Ellingham, Harbridge & Ibsley (Application 20/11288) Details:	
	Diversion of footpath	
	Public Participants:	
	None	
	Additional Representations:	
	None	
	Comment:	
	None	
	Decision:	
	(i)	That the Committee exercise its discretionary power to make the Order and following the procedure detailed at paragraph 3 above, and, if paragraph 3.7 applies, to delegate authority to the Chief Planning Officer to confirm the order; and
	(ii)	That if objections are received following the making of the order, to note that the matter will be brought back to the Committee for consideration.
	Conditions / Reasons:	
	As per report (Item 3a)	

b 23 Mount Avenue, New Milton (NB: Proposed Legal Agreement) (Application 20/11446)

Details:

Demolish existing garage; sever land and erect a detached dwelling with associated access and parking (Revised Scheme 19/11118)

Public Participants:

Jessica Glover, Pure Town Planning (Agent)
Cllr David Hawkins on behalf of New Milton Town Council

Additional Representations:

None

Comment:

Cllr Hawkins disclosed a non-pecuniary interest in application 20/11446 as a member of the Planning Committee of New Milton Town Council which had commented on the application. Cllr Hawkins addressed the Committee on behalf of New Milton Town Council, but did not participate in the debate or vote on the application. He was present during the consideration of the item.

Cllr Ward disclosed a non-pecuniary interest in application 20/11446 as a member of New Milton Town Council which had commented on the application. She concluded that there were no grounds under common law to prevent her from remaining in the meeting to speak and to vote.

The Case Officer reported that the Section 106 agreement had been completed and therefore the recommendation had changed to Grant Subject to Conditions, this had been included in the update note circulated prior to the meeting.

Members raised concerns regarding the proximity of the proposed dwelling to the protected Monterey Pine tree, in particular the impact the dwelling in the proposed location would have on the future health and public amenity value of the tree.

Decision:

Refuse

Conditions / Reasons:

The protected Monterey pine tree is a principal landscape feature; it is prominent in the street scene with significant public amenity value within the local environment. It has not been demonstrated that the proposal would not result in significant, unnecessary future pressure to prune or fell the tree for reasons of safety. It is therefore considered that due to the proximity of the proposed dwelling to this tree, it is likely to lead to the loss of this tree which would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the area undermining its local distinctiveness. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy ENV3 of the New Forest District Council Local Plan Part 1: Planning Strategy and the New Milton Local Distinctiveness Supplementary Planning Document.

c Staplewood Campus, Long Lane, Marchwood (Application 20/11458) Details:

Three pole mounted analytical cameras sited at 12 metres above ground level

Public Participants:

Mary Power, PowerHaus Consultancy (Agent) Brendan Gibbs, Marchwood Parish Council

Additional Representations:

A statement was read out on behalf of John Hurst (Objector).

The Case Officer reported that five further letters received following the reconsultation of the application and a letter had been sent to Councillors from 5 local residents. The points had been included in the update note circulated prior to the meeting.

Comment:

The Case Officer reported that the incorrect location of camera 04 had been included in the officer presentation which had been published, as a supplement to the agenda. The correct location of camera 04 was identified to Members at the meeting and as indicated by the red cross on the plan. All the other camera locations were correct. The correct plan is referred to in planning condition 2 and has been subject of the re-consultation exercise.

Decision:

Delegated authority be given to the Chief Planning Officer to GRANT PERMISSION subject to:

- (i) no further substantive issues being raised following expiry of the reconsultation period; and
- (ii) the imposition of conditions set out in the report.

Conditions / Reasons:

As per report (Item 3c)

d 22 Westbury Road, Ringwood (Application 21/10177)

Details:

Single-storey rear extension

Public Participants:

None

Additional Representations:

None

Comment:

Cllr Ring disclosed a non-pecuniary interest in application 21/11446 as a member of the Planning Committee of Ringwood Town Council which had commented on the application. He concluded that has he had not expressed a view or voted on the application there were no grounds under common law to prevent him from remaining in the meeting to speak and to vote.

Decision:

Grant subject to conditions

Conditions / Reasons:

As per report (Item 3d)

CHAIRMAN